

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

ROBERT LEWIS
117 Cherry Court
Matamoras, PA 18336

VS.

NEW JERSEY TRANSIT RAIL
OPERATIONS, INC.
One Penn Plaza East
Newark, NJ 07105-2246

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

1. The Plaintiff herein, Robert Lewis, is a citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania residing at 117 Cherry Court, Matamoras PA 18336.

2. Defendant, New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "NJT"), is a corporation duly organized and doing business in the State of New Jersey with its principle place of business at the above address.

3. The action arises under the Act of Congress, April 22, 1908, c. 149, 35 Stat. 65, and amendments thereto, U.S.C.A. Title 45, Sec 51 et seq., and further amended by the Act of Congress, approved by the President of the United States on August 11, 1939, Chapter 685 - First Session of the 76th Congress, known and cited as "The Federal Employers' Liability Act", and under "The Federal Safety Appliance Act", Title 45, U.S.C.A., Sec. 1-16 inclusive and under "The Federal Boiler Inspection Act", Title 45, U.S.C.A., Sec. 22-34, inclusive.

4. All the acts alleged to have been done or not to have been done by the Defendant were done or not done by the Defendant, its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees acting in the course and scope of its employment for and on behalf of the Defendant.

5. At all time material hereto, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant.

6. All of the property, equipment and operations involved in the accident herein referred to were owned by and under the control of the Defendant, its agents, servants and/or employees.

7. On or about February 17, 2015, and for some time prior thereto, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant, NJT as a foreman and on that date in the performance of his duties he sustained the serious, permanent and painful personal injuries, more particularly hereinafter described when, Plaintiff was driving a hi-rail truck and was unable to come to a stop due insufficient traction, grading and stopping distance related to the weather conditions. Plaintiff's vehicle thereby hit another vehicle at a crossing, causing him to sustain injuries due to the negligence and carelessness of the Defendant. As a result, Plaintiff sustained severe personal injuries hereinafter described.

8. The negligence and carelessness of the Defendant consisted of the following, inter alia:

- a) Failing to provide Plaintiff with a safe place to work;
- b) Failing to provide adequate training;
- c) Failing to warn Plaintiff of the existence of said dangerous conditions;
- d) Failing to provide equipment reasonably safe for working conditions;
- e) Failing to maintain said equipment in a condition which would protect and safeguard the Plaintiff;
- f) Failing to correct the dangerous conditions existing thereon;
- g) Failing to use due care under the circumstances.

9. As a result of the aforesaid, Plaintiff suffered injuries to his back, particularly back strain and contusion. Plaintiff has been advised that some or all of the above may be permanent in nature.

10. As a result of the aforesaid, Plaintiff has and may hereafter incur a medically determinable physical or mental impairment which prevents Plaintiff from performing all or

substantially all of the material acts and duties which constitute his usual and customary daily activities.

11. As a direct result of the defendants' negligence, through their agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, the Plaintiff has been unable to attend to his usual duties and occupations, all of which caused substantial financial loss and all of which may and probably will continue in the future.

12. As a direct result of the defendants' negligence, through their agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, the Plaintiff has been and may continue to be required to receive and undergo medical treatment and medical care, and has incurred reasonable and necessary medical expenses, all of which may and probably will continue in the future.

13. As a direct result of the defendants' negligence, through their agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, the Plaintiff has sustained pain, suffering, inconvenience, stress and a loss of enjoyment of life and may continue to suffer same for an indefinite period of time in the future.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, Robert Lewis, claims of the defendant, a sum in excess of **ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$150,000.00)** together with interest and costs and brings this action to recover same.

KELLER & GOGGIN, P.C.

By: /s/ James M. Duckworth
James M. Duckworth, Esquire
Attorney I.D. No. 033861998
1528 Walnut Street
Suite 900
Philadelphia, PA 19102
(215) 735-8780
Attorneys for Plaintiff

VERIFICATION

I, ROBERT LEWIS, have read the foregoing. The statements herein are correct to the best of my personal knowledge, information and/or belief. This statement and verification is made subject to the penalties or 18 Pa. C.S.A. Sec. 4904 relating to unsworn falsifications to authorities, which provide that if I knowingly make false averments, I may be subject to criminal penalties.

Rob Lewis